C. R. S. IX. 3

Previous references. 1889 - 90.

L. 1 32 80 1 [illegible]

L 2. 46 42 - 1 5 -

L 2 42 - 21 - 1 6 71

Labi refer nos. 1 - 2 3 - 8.

L 124 - 90 - 1 3.

L 214 91 - 1466.

L 2318 91 - 1611.

File No. 87-89

Serial Nos. 1 [illegible]

17 8. Kite

GEN[illegible] SECRETARIAT

Part I. fc. 4 - [illegible] 1889.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(5) 14/1/10

(General Serial No. 32.)
1890.

No. 311 L.A 35-89-10

Name of Petitioner. Nayumdeah Joddar &

Village. Mallenahalli

Taluk. Meddagiri

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 27/5/90

Date of Receipt.

Date of Dispesal.

No. of Enclosures.

L.A 87-89-1

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner & 3 others were joint-holders of the above Joddi village. The three Coshareers made over their shares to Govt owing to their inability to pay the arrears of Joddi which came to a large amount owing to the late famine &c., while the Patr had mortgaged his share to one Maddagiri Seshagiri Rao

Submitted for orders -

3/6/90 AR

Todeputy for a report on the encs and on the village head taken over as Sukhas. This Petition on the Petrs' prayer - Nose Demand, Cheshm Thalaree of the Ancestor of the Village made all heads for the fasli during the Sukhas should be furnished in advance.

5/6/90

(70 2

for a term of 18 years
on condition of its being
restored to him (the garden
portion especially) in its
original condition. But
during the long absence of
the Petr aforesaid the
mortgagee was instigated
by the other sharers to
resign the mortgaged portion
also to Govt. So the whole
village ~~has~~ reverted to Govt.
Petr prays that Govt
will be pleased to restore
the village to him on
Jodi tenure ~~of~~ as
the previous ~~of the village~~
tenure of from the date
thereof from the date
of its reversion every
time covered not only
the previous arrears of
Jodi but also the Jodi
of the subsequent years,
& that he may be appointed
Patel of the village in lieu

of the present incumbent Guadalupe who is a stranger.

If the whole village cannot be restored to him, prays that his share may at least be restored.

27-5-90

Patiala 9/5/90

13. 5. 90

27.

No 138. 7-5

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

L.R. 35-89-27
L.R. 87-89-27

The accompanying Petition No. 311
dated 27th May 1890 received at the
Dewan's Office from Nanjundiah,
Jodidar of Mallanahalli
Madduguri Taluk,
Tumkur District,

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District
for a report on the
circumstances under
which the village was
taken over as Sirkari,
and his opinion on the
petitioner's prayer. The
Demand, Collection and
balance of the Revenue
of the village under all
heads for the period
during which it has
remained Sirkari should
be furnished in abstract.

By Order,

BANGALORE,
6/6 1890.

Chief Secretary

Drafted
as per Ch: Sec's
order.

Brij.

824-9-90

46

(6)

(General Serial No. 29.)

779

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 4581 AUG. 90 263

1890-91

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kunigal District

L.A. 35-60-12

L.A. 67-89-3

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Bangalore

Dated 12th August 1890.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No.

No. 262 1890-91.

Petn of Cs

7/8/90

To S. Rees

Petition received
23-8-90
CB

Submitted to the Council.
29/8/90. ASP

His official Memo No. 138 of the 6th June 1890 forwarding for report a petition from Nanjundiah Jodidar of Mallenahally in the Maddagiri Taluk praying for the restoration of the Village on Joga tenure.

1. He has the honor to state as follows:—

2. The petitioner held 7/32nds of the Village. A sum of Rupees 1189-7-9 became due from

from the Cultivators on account of famine years. The Joodicars failed to pay it and resigned the Joodi Village in favor of Government. So, under orders of the late Chief Commissioner (Proceedings No: 6200-1/1091 dated 22nd January 1881) the Village was resumed after sale and treated as a Government Village, the arrear thereon being remitted. During the enquiry instituted at the time of the resignation of the Village, petitioner deposed that he had nothing to do with it (his share having been mortgaged by his father).

3. The petitioner now prays that either the whole Village or his share therein may be restored to him as Inam and further requests that he may be made the Potti thereof. The other sharers may probably come forward to revive their claims if those of the petitioner can be granted.

4. As regards the petitioners' prayer for the office of Potti, it has to be submitted that the office is now held by one Madaluri Gowda, whose name the Potti Register of the year Rudhirodgari bears. He has been in possession since 23 years.

5. A statement shewing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the revenues of the Village under all heads for the last 8 years is submitted.

6. The petition under reference is returned.

[illegible]
Commissioner
Ceded Districts

2bb

Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of the Mallenahalli Village, in the Maddagiri Taluk, Tumkur

No.	Years.	Balance at the beginning of the year				Demand (jamabundy of the year)				Total			
		Land Revenue.	Mohatarfa	District Fund	Miscellaneous	Land Revenue.	Mohatarfa.	District Fund.	Miscellaneous.	Land Revenue.	Mohatarfa.	District Fund.	Miscellaneous.
1	Chitrabhanu	105 8 6	- 8 -	- - -	- - -	344 6 -	7 8 -	40 15 3	182 - -	449 14 6	8 - -	40 15 3	182
2	Swabhanu	317 6 9	1 - -	- - -	- - -	589 12 -	8 8 -	57 12 9	206 2 -	907 2 9	9 8 -	57 12 9	206
3	Tharwa	489 7 9	2 - -	7 11 -	2 12 -	597 8 -	7 - -	45 5 8	65 12 7	1086 15 9	9 - -	53 - 8	68
4	Parthiva	419 7 6	3 8 -	21 4 1	35 6 10	597 4 -	9 - -	46 - 1	17 12 11	1016 11 6	12 8 -	67 4 2	53
5	Vyaya	374 1 4	1 8 -	26 3 7	7 6 -	579 4 -	9 - -	44 9 6	31 6 1	953 5 4	10 8 -	70 13 1	38
6	Sarvajithu	182 2 10	1 - -	9 3 3	4 13 6	584 4 -	9 - -	45 2 3	63 2 4	766 6 10	10 - -	64 5 6	67
7	Sarvadhari	112 5 2	1 - -	4 6 9	20 4 6	601 4 -	7 8 -	46 7 3	13 15 9	713 9 2	8 8 -	50 14 -	34
8	Virodhi	99 11 8	1 - -	4 - -	5 14 11	596 4 -	8 8 -	45 15 2	8 15 -	695 15 8	9 8 -	49 15 2	14

Tumkur
13th August 1890.

District, for the past 8 years.

			Amount Collected								Balance outstanding at the close of the year.											
Miscellaneous.		Total		Land Revenue.		Mohatarfa		District Fund.		Miscellaneous.		Total		Land Revenue.		Mohatarfa.		District Fund.		Miscellaneous.		Total.	
"	"	680	10 9	132	7 9	7	" "	40	15 3	182	" "	362	7 "	317	6 9	1	" "	"	" "	"	" "	318	6 9
2	"	1180	9 6	417	11 "	7	8 "	50	1 9	203	6 "	678	10 9	489	7 9	2	" "	7	11 "	2	12 "	501	14 9
8	7	1217	9 "	667	8 7	5	8 "	31	12 7	33	1 9	737	14 11	419	7 2	3	8 "	21	4 1	35	6 10	479	10 1
3	9	1149	11 5	642	9 10	11	" "	41	" 7	45	12 11	740	7 4	374	1 8	1	" 8 "	26	3 7	7	6 10	409	4 1
12	1	1073	6 6	771	2 6	9	8 "	61	9 10	33	15 5	876	3 9	182	2 10	1	" "	9	3 3	4	12 8	197	2 9
15	10	898	12 2	654	1 8	9	" "	49	14 9	47	11 4	760	11 9	112	5 2	1	" "	4	6 9	20	4 6	138	" 5
4	3	807	3 5	613	13 6	7	8 "	46	14 "	28	5 4	696	8 10	99	11 8	1	" "	4	" "	5	14 11	110	10 7
13	11	770	4 9	546	1 9	8	8 "	43	3 2	6	6 "	604	2 11	149	13 11	1	2 "	6	12 "	8	7 11	166	1 10

Deputy Commissioner.

(1)

(6012)

M. No 311
dated 13 January 1890

Petitioner
Rangnadra
of Mallenhalli
Madagadaly
Tumkur Dt

Rl. was a ryot in the Dodr village of Mallenhalli. The village fell into arrear, was advised for sale in auction, & there being no bid was resumed in 1878-9 — Pet. states that his share had been mortgaged & the mortgagee failed the Govt. — On the ground he requests that the share may be restored to him. —

1 Leg Encl

2 — He also requests

that he was appointed
Patail of the village
"The present Patail
Madalura Gowda & his brother
are willing to give up the
Office in favour of Petitioner,
who is a Police Constable,
but the Authorities refused
to appoint Mr as the office
is hereditary & the future
heirs of the present men
may object.

N. M was
informed that the
Village or Watan property
descends as long as
Govt recognised & that his request for Office
of Patail cannot be
accepted as he has
no right whatever to it

13/9/90

Hearing
25th Sept 1890
Give notice to
Mr only

11/9/90

Petitioner was heard
in person on 11/9/90

13/9/90

(General Serial No. 30).

1890:

From L. A. 35-80 —

To L. A 87-89 —
The Dy Comm Lucknow

Dated 22nd September 1890.

Despatched 2-10

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 783
No. 266.

Ind? copy of decision in
Appeal No 311 of 13th May 1890

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore

15
G3

Appeal No. [redacted] of 13 May 1890

L.Q.87-89-4

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Ranjundiah of
Mallanhalli
Madgeri Taluk
Tumkur District.

Decision. 14th September 90

Petitioner was a Shettidar in the Jodi Village of Mallanhalli. The village fell into arrear, was exposed for sale in auction and there having been no bid was resumed by Government in January 1881. Petitioner states that his share had been mortgaged and the mortgagee failed to pay the Jodi. On these grounds he requests that his share may be restored to him.

2. He also requests that he may be appointed Patail of the village.

The present Patail Madhure Gowda and

his

(64) 16

his brother are willing to give up the office to petitioner who is a Police Constable, but the Amildar refused to appoint petitioner as the office is hereditary and the future heirs of the present man may object.

The petitioner will be informed that the village or vritti properly resumed so long ago as 1881 cannot be now restored and that his request for office of Patel cannot be accepted as he has no right whatever to it.

By order

for Chief Secretary.

22/9/90

7813 / 266 — 22-9-90 / 2-10-90

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Tumakur district with reference to his No 262 of 12th August 90

By order

for Chief Secretary

22/9